1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

| UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
|---------------------------------|
| NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA |

IN RE WHITFIELD, Plaintiff, Case No. <u>21-cv-06971-PJH</u>

ORDER OF TRANSFER

This case was opened when plaintiff, an Arkansas state prisoner proceeding pro se, wrote a letter to the court. In an effort to protect his rights, it was filed as a new case. Plaintiff is incarcerated in the Eastern District of Arkansas and raises claims against defendants in that district. He states that the Internal Revenue Service sent to him at his prison his economic impact payment ("EIP") pursuant to the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act (The "CARES Act"), Pub. L. No. 116-136, 134 Stat. 281 (2020). He contends that the state passed a law mandating that the EIP to prisoners would first be used to pay court fines, fees and restitution. For relief, plaintiff seeks the full funds he received to appear in his prison account.

In Scholl v. Mnuchin, 494 F. Supp. 3d 661 (N.D. Cal. 2020) this court found that the Department of Treasury and Internal Revenue Service could not withhold advance refunds or credits to individuals solely because they were incarcerated. Id. at 692. To the extent plaintiff requests to proceed with his claim in this court and the Scholl case, any such request is denied. Plaintiff's claims involve entirely different defendants located in the Eastern District of Arkansas. Plaintiff's claim involves interference by the state legislature and prison officials in plaintiff receiving his EIP, not the issuance of funds by

Case 4:21-cv-06971-PJH Document 5 Filed 10/12/21 Page 2 of 2

| | 1 |
|---------------|----|
| | 2 |
| | 3 |
| | 4 |
| | 5 |
| | 6 |
| | 7 |
| | 8 |
| | 9 |
| | 10 |
| | 11 |
| lifornia | 12 |
| | 13 |
| f Cal | 14 |
| trict of | 15 |
| Northern Dist | 16 |
| | 17 |
| | 18 |
| | 19 |
| | 20 |
| | 21 |
| | 22 |
| | 23 |
| | 24 |
| | 25 |
| | 26 |

27

28

United States District Court

| the Scholl defendants and the CARES | -S Act. |
|-------------------------------------|---------|
|-------------------------------------|---------|

Venue, therefore, properly lies in that district and not in this one. See 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b). This case is **TRANSFERRED** to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Arkansas. See 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 12, 2021

/s/ Phyllis J. Hamilton

PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON United States District Judge